

# PROFICIENCY TESTING FOR THE MEASUREMENT OF INTEGRATED RADON-222 ACTIVITY CONCENTRATION IN AIR General information

LMR/DOC.21.006 - rev. 1 del 2021-10-08

PROFICIENCY TEST PROVIDER	Politecnico di Milano Energy department Laboratory of Radiation Metrology (LMR) Via la Masa, 34 – Building B18 20156 Milan	
ACCREDITATION	Proficiency testing scheme, according to the standard UNI CEI EN ISO/IEC 17043:2010	
ACTIVITIES TO BE SUBCONCTRACTED	Exposure of the devices performed at: Cento LAT n. 104 - Politecnico di Milano – Settore Radon Via la Masa, 34 – Ed. B18 20156 Milano	
REFERENCE STANDARDS	<ul> <li>UNI CEI EN ISO/IEC 17043:2010 "Conformity assessment – General requirements for proficiency testing"</li> <li>JCGM 100:2008 "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement"</li> <li>ISO 13528:2015 "Statistical methods for use in proficiency testing for interlaboratory comparisons"</li> <li>ISO 11665-4:2021 "Measurement of radioactivity in the environment — Air: radon-222 — Part 4: Integrated measurement method for determining average activity concentration using passive sampling and delayed analysis"</li> </ul>	

Politecnico di Milano Dipartimento di Energia – Laboratorio di Metrologia delle Radiazioni

# Index

1.	Proficiency testing scheme	. 3
2.	Proficiency testing scope	. 3
3.	Management system.	. 3
4.	Proficieny testing time schedule	. 3
5.	Proficiency testing registration	. 3
6.	Design of proficiency testing scheme	. 3
7.	Shipping of proficiency testing devices	. 4
8.	Transmission of proficiency testing results	. 4
9.	Data analysis and evaluation of proficiency testing results	. 4
10.	Proficiency testing document	. 5
11.	Confidentiality	. 6
12.	Communication with participants	. 6

# 1. Proficiency testing scheme

This document applies to any device capable of measuring the exposure, i.e. integrated radon concentration in air over time. The proficiency test schemes for these devices are: a) simultaneous (i.e. several participants in parallel) b) quantitative (i.e. comparison vs a reference value).

The scheme has the identification code RNE.VV.XX.YY.ZZ, where:

- VV indicates the type of device (CR = plastic CR-39; EL = electret; TD = generic trace detectors; AL = all types of devices);
- XX indicates the aspect to be highlighted in the test (DL = different levels in a short time; AF = aging and fading; .....);
- YY indicates the last two digits of the year of the start of the evaluation test;
- ZZ indicates the scheme number proposed in the year.

# 2. Proficiency testing scope

The scope is to offer each participant lab an evaluation test to verify their capability of measuring the radon exposure. The participating laboratory can use the proficiency testing results to demonstrate its capabilities to customers, accreditation and regulation bodies.

Furthermore, the test allows to comply with the provisions of § 8.3 in the ISO 11655-4 standard, regarding the maintenance over time of the measurement capabilities:

8.3 Calibration

[....]

In addition to calibration, consideration should be given to regular testing to ensure measurements remain suitable for use. These should include internal blind tests and external proficiency, validation or interlaboratory comparisons.

The LMR reserves the right of using statistical data form the tests, for scientific purposes (including peer review publications), or benchmarking of measuring systems, ensuring the anonymity of the participants.

## 3. Management system

The management of each test is up to a Coordinator, appointed by the LMR.

The Coordinator is supported by a group of experts, appointed by him, and by one or more Italian secondary standard calibration labs (LAT) or foreign laboratories accredited to ISO 17025:2017 for the exposure of devices in radon atmospheres (subcontractors).

The LMR assumes responsibility towards the laboratories participating in the evaluation tests with regard to the activities carried out by the subcontractors in charge.

#### 4. Proficieny testing time schedule

The schedule of the proficiency testing and documents specifying the organization are on the website <u>https://www.metrorad.polimi.it/en/</u>.

## 5. Proficiency testing registration

The eligibility rule is described in the document EN\_LMR/MOD.21.003. Participants can apply on the website <u>https://www.metrorad.polimi.it/en/</u>.

#### 6. Design of proficiency testing scheme

For each proficiency testing scheme, the document EN\_LMR/MOD.21.003, contains the following information: type of devices and quantity under test; type of radionuclide, range and conditions of the exposure; test scheme; operating instructions and registration fee; name of the Coordinator; information about the participants selection criteria; measures taken to guarantee the confidentiality of the test results.

# 7. Shipping of proficiency testing devices

Instruction for samples shipping, to and from the organizer laboratory, will be provided to each participant in an ad hoc document, in agreement with the proficiency testing schedule.

### 8. Transmission of proficiency testing results

According to the deadlines defined in the proficiency testing schedule, the participants must send the results to the organizing laboratory. Participants must pay particular attention to the instruction for results return, double checking the numerical format and the unit of measurements. Transmitted results are considered definitive and unchangeable. <u>This means that errors in the transmission of the results cannot be corrected upon request, even if this would result in the exclusion from data processing made by the organization.</u>

## 9. Data analysis and evaluation of proficiency testing results

Participants will send the results in terms of kBq·h·m<sup>-3</sup> for each sample set, corresponding to different exposures. <u>The correction for transits devices, if any, must be done by the participant.</u>

For each set, the organizer calculates the following quantities: mean value, median value and standard deviation, according to the standard JCGM 100:2008.

For each exposure the following statistical indicators are calculated:

- z score of the mean value (z), defined by equation (1)

$$Z = \frac{\bar{x} - X}{\hat{\sigma}} \tag{1}$$

where  $\bar{x}$  is the mean value of the results of devices belonging to one set, X is the reference value,  $\hat{\sigma}$  is the standard deviation used to assess the capability of the participant. It is defined by the organizer in the module EN\_LMR/MOD.21.003. This indicator assesses the deviation from the reference value with respect to a  $\hat{\sigma}$  defined a priori, basing on the value of the reference quantity, international standard of other kind of regulation:

- **z** score of the mid-range value  $(z_M)$ , defined by equation (2)

$$z_M = \frac{M - X}{\hat{\sigma}} \tag{2}$$

where M is the mid-range value of the results of devices belonging to one set (it is the arithmetic mean of the maximum and minimum values of the data set), X and  $\hat{\sigma}$  are the same quantities defined for equation (1). This indicator assesses the presence of results producing an important deviation between mean and median values.

- *Relative standard deviation*  $s_{rel}(x)$ , defined by equation (3)

$$S_{rel}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})}{n-1}} / \bar{x}$$
 (3)

This indicator assesses the precision of the results given by the participant.

The reference value X is supplied by the secondary standard calibration labs in charge of the exposures, with the corresponding uncertainty.  $\hat{\sigma}$  cannot be lower than the uncertainty associated to the reference value.

To give the same weight to the three indicators,  $s_{rel}(x)$  is corrected by a multiplicative coefficient *p* defined in equation (4):

$$p = \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_{rel}} \tag{4}$$

where  $\hat{\sigma}_{rel}$  is the standard deviation used in equations (1) and (2), expressed in a relative way. Thus, the total score T for a single exposure (i.e. single set) is given by equation (5):

$$T = z + z_M + p \cdot s_{rel}(x) \tag{5}$$

The value lower than1 for each of the three indicators means a very good performance; the value lower the 2 for each of the three indicators means an acceptable performance, while values of the indicators in the range 2 to 3 are representative of a critical performance (important scattering of the results, calibration problems or presence of outliers). Values higher than 3 indicate not acceptable results.

A procedure to reject outliers is not used. This is because the test is on a set of at least 10 devices, while the in field experimental campaigns typically involve only one device.

The statistical indicators and the proposed ranking procedure maintain their validity independently of the number of participants. Thus, the statistical analysis does not require a minimum of participants

The performance of the participant is assessed per single exposure through the total score T. Six ranking levels are identified, according to the following table:

T value	Level	Indications
T≤3	A	Very good results for all the indicators
3 <t≤4< td=""><td>В</td><td>At least one indicator &gt;1</td></t≤4<>	В	At least one indicator >1
		Good results, no action suggested
4 <t≤5< td=""><td>С</td><td>One or more indicators &gt;1</td></t≤5<>	С	One or more indicators >1
		Fair results, double check of data is suggested
5 <t≤6< td=""><td>D</td><td>One or more indicators &gt;&gt;1</td></t≤6<>	D	One or more indicators >>1
		Acceptable results, detailed data revision is suggested
6 <t≤7< td=""><td>E</td><td>One or more indicators &gt;&gt;1</td></t≤7<>	E	One or more indicators >>1
		Critical results, a review of the whole process is suggested
T>7	F	Not acceptable results
		Identify reasons and take countermeasure

For each exposure, the final report will include a summary table with data sorted by increasing T and reporting the value of the three indicators.

A benchmark among participants, highlighting the deviation from the reference value, will also be included in the final report.

## **10. Proficiency testing document**

Each proficiency testing is characterized by a univocal code, reported in all the documents of the specific test and in the announcement on the website <u>https://www.metrorad.polimi.it/en/</u>.

More detailed information is sent to the registered participants, according to the time schedule. The results from each participant, including the statistical and performance analysis will be described in a Test Report, written in compliance with the standard UNI CEI EN ISO/IEC 17043:2010. Each participant will receive its own test report, together with a Participation Certificate, certifying the participation of the laboratory to the proficiency test and the performances obtained.

# 11. Confidentiality

For confidentiality assurance, a specific identification code (CI) is assigned to the participants. An additional code (CS) is given to each device group/set; this code is used also for documents transmission, both to the participant and to the laboratory performing the exposures. A third code (CP) is used for anonymous presentation of the results in the final report. To further guarantee the confidentiality with respect to the personnel involved in the proficiency test, whether belonging to the Politecnico di Milano - Department of Energy, or to external structures, the pairing between CP and CS is known only by the coordinator,

Participants must not disclose these codes to third parties. Politecnico di Milano - LMR is bound to keep confidentiality as well.

The participant must not share information about test results among other participants.

In case of evident collusion (agreement) between/among participants or falsification of the results, the Politecnico di Milano - LMR has the right to exclude from the test the responsible(s) for such behavior.

#### **12.** Communication with participants

The mailbox ptp-deng@polimi.it is the only communication channel between the organizer, the coordinator and the participants. Participants can use this box to send warnings or complaints (damage or tampering of the devices; problems related to compliance with the test schedule time; clarifications or appeals regarding the evaluation of their data). The coordinator, after consulting the organizer, evaluates if it is necessary to open a complaint, promptly informing the participant.